UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL   **JS-6**

Case No.	2:25-cv-00906-CAS-RAOx	Date	March 7, 2025
Title	Hector Delgado v. Emma Delgado		

Present: The Honorable   CHRISTINA A. SNYDER

Catherine Jeang	Not Present	N/A
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:
Not Present	Not Present

Proceedings: **(IN CHAMBERS) - ORDER TO REMAND**

On January 7, 2025, plaintiff Hector Delgado ("plaintiff") filed this unlawful detainer action against defendant Emma Delgado ("defendant") in Los Angeles County Superior Court. Dkt. 1 at 10. Defendant removed the case to this Court on February 3, 2025. Id. at 1. Defendant concurrently filed a request to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Dkt. 2. Defendant asserts that this Court has jurisdiction on the basis of a federal question. Dkt. 1 at 2 (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1441).

It appears that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over this action. The law is clear that "[u]nlawful detainer actions are strictly within the province of state court." Federal Nat'l Mort. Assoc. v. Suarez, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 82300, *6 (E.D. Cal. Jul. 27, 2011); Deutsche Bank Nat'l Trust Co. v. Leonardo, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 83854, *2 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2011) ("[T]he complaint only asserts a claim for unlawful detainer, a cause of action that is purely a matter of state law.").

Here, the only claim asserted by plaintiff is for unlawful detainer against defendant. See dkt. 1 at 10. The Court therefore lacks subject matter jurisdiction and must remand. Suarez, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 82300 at *6. On February 19, 2025, the Court ordered defendant to show cause, in writing, no later than March 6, 2025, why this case should not be remanded to Los Angeles County Superior Court. Dkt. 7. Defendant has failed to respond to the order to show cause. Accordingly, the Court **REMANDS** this case to Los Angeles County Superior Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

	00	:	00
Initials of Preparer		CMJ	